

# Essay Competition :

## “Integration Experience of ASEAN for the EEU and the EEU Experience for ASEAN”.

Participant's details:

Name:	Muhammad Ikmal Fahmi Bin Che Mohamood
Age:	26
Country:	Malaysia
Institution:	UNITAR International University
Mobile phone:	60136716409
Email:	<a href="mailto:fahmimohamood@gmail.com">fahmimohamood@gmail.com</a>
Essay Topic :	Exploring the Integration Dynamics and Cooperative Routes between ASEAN and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU)

# **"Connecting Frontiers: Exploring Integration Dynamics and Cooperative Routes between ASEAN and the EEU"**

## **The overview of ASEAN and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU).**

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), established in 1967, comprises ten member states in Southeast Asia, aiming to promote economic growth, social progress, and regional stability through cooperation and integration (Nida et al., 2023). On the other hand, the EEU, formed in 2015, consists of five member states in Eurasia, focusing on facilitating economic integration among its members to ensure the free movement of goods, services, capital, and labor (Okere et al., 2022).

Regional integration plays a crucial role in fostering economic growth, political stability, and cultural exchange. Economically, integration allows for economies of scale, increased market access, and enhanced competitiveness, leading to higher productivity and economic growth (World Bank, 2009). Politically, integration promotes cooperation, peace, and stability among member states, reducing the likelihood of conflicts and enhancing collective security (Mattli & Plümper, 2016). Culturally, integration facilitates people-to-people exchanges, mutual understanding, and the preservation of cultural diversity, enriching the social fabric of member states (Hettne, 1999).

The integration experiences of ASEAN within the EEU and vice versa present an intriguing research problem. Exploring how these two regional blocs interact, collaborate, or compete with each other could offer valuable insights into the dynamics of regional integration processes. By analyzing the similarities, differences, successes, and challenges of ASEAN and the EEU, policymakers, scholars, and practitioners can glean lessons and best practices to further advance regional integration initiatives globally.

The comparative overview of ASEAN and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) underscores the significance of regional integration in fostering economic growth, political stability, and cultural exchange. Both ASEAN and the EEU serve as vital platforms for member states to collaborate and integrate their economies, ultimately aiming for collective prosperity and peace. Their experiences offer valuable insights into the complexities of regional integration processes, highlighting the importance of cooperation, mutual understanding, and the preservation of cultural diversity. As scholars and policymakers delve deeper into the interactions between ASEAN and the

EEU, they can extract valuable lessons and best practices to guide future regional integration initiatives worldwide, ultimately contributing to a more interconnected and harmonious global community.

## **Historical Context**

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) emerged from distinct historical contexts, yet both aimed at regional economic integration. ASEAN was established in 1967 amidst the Cold War, with the initial goal of promoting political stability and economic cooperation among its founding members: Indonesia, Malaysia, the Philippines, Singapore, and Thailand. Key milestones in ASEAN's integration include the establishment of the ASEAN Free Trade Area (AFTA) in 1992 and the launch of the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) in 2015, which sought to create a single market and production base (ASEAN, 2020).

The EEU, formed in 2015, has its roots in the post-Soviet space, aimed at fostering economic integration among former Soviet republics. It succeeded earlier initiatives like the Eurasian Economic Community (EurAsEC) and the Customs Union of Belarus, Kazakhstan, and Russia. Key milestones include the signing of the Treaty on the Eurasian Economic Union and the establishment of common economic policies and institutions to oversee integration efforts (Vinokurov, 2017).

Comparing their integration approaches, ASEAN's strategy has been characterized by gradualism and consensus, reflecting the diverse political and economic systems of its members. This "ASEAN Way" prioritizes non-interference and incremental progress, which has been effective in maintaining unity but has also slowed deeper integration. Conversely, the EEU's approach is more centralized, with supranational bodies like the Eurasian Economic Commission playing a significant role in policy coordination. This has enabled faster regulatory harmonization but has also led to tensions due to the differing economic capacities and political interests of its members (Libman & Vinokurov, 2018).

Both blocs face significant challenges: ASEAN grapples with economic disparities and political differences, while the EEU deals with geopolitical tensions and internal disagreements over economic benefits. Despite these challenges, both ASEAN and the EEU continue to pursue their integration agendas, recognizing the economic and political benefits of regional cooperation.

the historical contexts of ASEAN and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) have shaped their approaches to regional economic integration. ASEAN, born amidst the Cold War,

prioritizes gradualism and consensus-building, reflecting the diversity of its member states' political and economic systems. In contrast, the EEU, rooted in the post-Soviet space, adopts a more centralized approach to integration, aiming for faster regulatory harmonization despite tensions arising from differing economic capacities and political interests among its members. Despite facing challenges such as economic disparities and geopolitical tensions, both ASEAN and the EEU persist in their integration efforts, recognizing the enduring benefits of regional cooperation for economic growth and political stability.

### **Current State of Integration**

The Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) represent significant efforts towards regional integration, albeit with varying degrees of success and challenges. ASEAN, comprising ten Southeast Asian countries, has made notable progress in economic integration through the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC). The AEC aims to create a single market and production base, enhancing competitiveness and economic growth. Successful initiatives include tariff reductions and efforts to streamline customs procedures, which have facilitated trade and investment within the region (ASEAN, 2020).

Similarly, the EEU, which includes Russia, Armenia, Belarus, Kazakhstan, and Kyrgyzstan, seeks to promote economic integration among its member states. The EEU has established a common market and customs union, promoting the free movement of goods, services, capital, and labor. Key achievements include the harmonization of regulations and the establishment of supranational institutions to oversee economic policies (Vinokurov, 2017).

However, both ASEAN and the EEU face significant barriers to deeper integration. For ASEAN, these include economic disparities among member states, non-tariff barriers, and political differences that hinder policy coordination. Moreover, the region's diversity in terms of political systems, economic development levels, and cultural backgrounds poses challenges to achieving uniformity in integration policies (ASEAN, 2020).

The EEU, on the other hand, grapples with geopolitical tensions, particularly with the European Union and the United States, which impact its economic strategies. Additionally, the varying economic sizes and political interests of member states can lead to unequal benefits from integration efforts, causing friction within the bloc (Vinokurov, 2017).

While both ASEAN and the EEU have made strides towards regional integration, they must navigate significant challenges to achieve deeper and more effective integration.

Addressing these barriers requires continued commitment to cooperation and policy alignment among member states.

The current state of integration within ASEAN and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) demonstrates notable progress alongside persistent challenges. ASEAN's initiatives like the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) have facilitated trade and investment through tariff reductions and streamlined customs procedures, contributing to regional economic growth. Similarly, the EEU's establishment of a common market and customs union has promoted the free movement of goods, services, capital, and labor, supported by harmonized regulations and supranational institutions. However, both blocs face hurdles such as economic disparities, non-tariff barriers, and geopolitical tensions, which impede deeper integration. Overcoming these challenges demands sustained commitment to cooperation and policy alignment among member states, essential for realizing the full potential of regional integration efforts.

### **ASEAN - EEU Cooperation**

The cooperation between the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) has been steadily evolving, driven by mutual economic interests and the potential for enhanced regional development. Both blocs have recognized the strategic importance of fostering closer ties, particularly in areas such as trade, investment, and connectivity.

Existing cooperative efforts have primarily focused on establishing frameworks for economic collaboration. In 2018, ASEAN and the EEU signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) to facilitate dialogue and cooperation in various sectors, including trade and investment, customs procedures, and technical regulations (ASEAN, 2018). This MoU serves as a foundation for ongoing and future joint initiatives.

Joint projects and agreements between ASEAN and EEU members have seen incremental progress. Notable examples include bilateral trade agreements between individual ASEAN countries and EEU member states, which aim to reduce trade barriers and enhance market access. Additionally, collaborative efforts in infrastructure development, such as transport and logistics networks, have been explored to improve regional connectivity (Vinokurov, 2017).

The impact of ASEAN-EEU cooperation on member states and regional development has been multifaceted. For ASEAN, the partnership with the EEU offers an opportunity to diversify trade relations and reduce dependency on traditional trading partners like the United States and China. For EEU members, engaging with ASEAN opens access

to one of the world's most dynamic economic regions, potentially boosting their economic growth and integration into the global economy (Libman & Vinokurov, 2018).

Overall, while ASEAN-EEU cooperation is still in its nascent stages, the potential benefits are significant. Strengthening this partnership could lead to increased trade, investment, and economic resilience for both regions. Continued efforts to deepen these ties will be crucial for maximizing the benefits of regional cooperation and development.

The evolving cooperation between ASEAN and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) signifies a strategic alignment driven by mutual economic interests and the desire for enhanced regional development. Through frameworks like the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) signed in 2018, both blocs have laid the groundwork for collaborative efforts in trade, investment, and connectivity. Incremental progress in joint projects and bilateral agreements demonstrates the potential for mutually beneficial outcomes, including diversified trade relations for ASEAN and increased access to dynamic markets for EEU members. As ASEAN-EEU cooperation continues to mature, the prospect of heightened trade, investment, and economic resilience underscores the importance of sustained efforts to deepen regional ties for the prosperity of both regions.

### **Interregional Connectivity**

Interregional connectivity between the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) encompasses infrastructural, economic, and cultural dimensions, each crucial for fostering deeper ties. Infrastructural connectivity is being bolstered through projects like the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), which aims to enhance transport and logistics networks linking ASEAN and EEU regions. These developments facilitate trade and mobility, fostering economic interdependence (Vinokurov, 2017).

Economic connectivity between ASEAN and EEU is progressing through various bilateral trade agreements, aiming to lower tariffs and streamline customs procedures. These agreements are designed to boost trade volumes and diversify economic partnerships. Additionally, the ASEAN-EEU Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) signed in 2018 emphasizes collaboration in areas such as technical regulations, standards, and customs procedures, providing a framework for deeper economic integration (ASEAN, 2018).

Cultural connectivity is also an emerging focus, with educational exchanges and tourism initiatives helping to bridge cultural divides and foster mutual understanding. These

efforts are critical in building long-term interregional relationships that go beyond economic transactions.

However, several gaps and opportunities for enhancing connectivity remain. Infrastructural disparities and inconsistent regulatory frameworks pose challenges to seamless integration. Addressing these gaps requires coordinated policy efforts and investments in infrastructure, particularly in underdeveloped regions (Libman & Vinokurov, 2018).

Technology and innovation play pivotal roles in fostering interregional connectivity. Digital platforms can streamline trade processes, enhance communication, and support e-commerce, thus overcoming some physical barriers. Innovations in transportation and logistics technology also have the potential to significantly reduce transit times and costs, making interregional trade more efficient (Vinokurov, 2017).

Interregional connectivity between ASEAN and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) encompasses infrastructural, economic, and cultural dimensions, all vital for deepening ties between the two regions. While initiatives like the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) bolster infrastructural connectivity, bilateral trade agreements and the ASEAN-EEU Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) pave the way for enhanced economic integration. Cultural exchanges further strengthen bonds, fostering mutual understanding beyond economic transactions. Addressing remaining gaps, such as infrastructural disparities and regulatory inconsistencies, requires coordinated policy efforts and investments. Leveraging technology and innovation offers promising avenues for overcoming these challenges and further enhancing interregional connectivity for mutual prosperity and development.

## **Conclusion**

In conclusion, the integration dynamics between ASEAN and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU) present a complex yet promising landscape. Both blocs have made significant strides in fostering economic growth, political stability, and cultural exchange through their integration processes. ASEAN's gradualist approach contrasts with the EEU's more centralized model, offering valuable insights into regional integration strategies. Shared challenges such as economic disparities and geopolitical tensions underscore the importance of cooperation between the two regions. The early stages of cooperation, marked by initiatives like the 2018 Memorandum of Understanding and bilateral trade agreements, show potential for mutual benefits in trade, investment, and connectivity. Infrastructure development, technological advancements, and cultural exchanges play key roles in deepening interregional ties. However, addressing infrastructural disparities and regulatory inconsistencies through coordinated policy

efforts and investments remains crucial for maximizing the benefits of ASEAN-EEU cooperation and promoting global economic integration and stability.

## **Recommendations**

To strengthen the integration between the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) and the Eurasian Economic Union (EEU), several policy recommendations and initiatives are essential. Policymakers in both regions should prioritize harmonizing regulatory standards and reducing trade barriers to facilitate smoother economic interactions. Establishing joint economic forums and trade fairs can enhance mutual understanding and cooperation, promoting a more integrated economic environment (Libman & Vinokurov, 2018).

Promoting youth involvement and awareness in regional integration processes is crucial for sustainable development. Educational exchange programs and scholarships for students from ASEAN and EEU countries can foster cross-cultural understanding and create a generation of leaders committed to regional cooperation. Additionally, incorporating regional studies into educational curricula can help students appreciate the importance of integration and understand the dynamics of interregional relations (ASEAN, 2018).

Greater people-to-people connectivity and cultural exchange are vital for deepening ties between ASEAN and EEU member states. Organizing cultural festivals, sports events, and art exhibitions can promote mutual understanding and friendship among the citizens of these regions. Furthermore, creating platforms for civil society organizations to collaborate on issues of common interest, such as environmental protection and public health, can strengthen grassroots connections and enhance social cohesion (Vinokurov, 2017).

A call to action for both regions involves leveraging technology to enhance connectivity. Digital platforms can facilitate virtual exchanges, online education programs, and e-commerce opportunities, overcoming geographical barriers and fostering a sense of community. Governments should invest in digital infrastructure and support innovations that bridge the gap between regions, making interregional collaboration more accessible and efficient (Libman & Vinokurov, 2018)

By implementing these recommendations, ASEAN and EEU can build a robust framework for cooperation, benefiting from enhanced economic ties, enriched cultural exchanges, and a united approach to global challenges.

## References.

Nida, R., Nafsi, F., Putri, A. R. A., Andni, R., & Johanna, A. (2023). The Impact of The Russian-Ukraine Invasion on The Reaction of ASEAN Stock Markets. *Journal International Dakwah and Communication*.

Okere, K., Onuoha, F. C., Muoneke, O., & Nwaeze, N. (2022). Sustainability challenges in Peru: embossing the role of economic integration and financial development—application of novel dynamic ARDL simulation. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29, 36865-36886.

Hettne, B. (1999). *Globalization and the New Regionalism: The Second Great Transformation*. Cambridge University Press.

Mattli, W., & Plümper, T. (2016). *The Political Economy of International Organizations*. Cambridge University Press.

ASEAN. (2020). ASEAN Economic Community Blueprint 2025. Retrieved from <https://www.asean.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/AEC-Blueprint-2025.pdf>

Libman, A., & Vinokurov, E. (2018). Autocracies and regional integration: The Eurasian case. *Post-Communist Economies*, 30(3), 334-352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14631377.2018.1443249>

Vinokurov, E. (2017). Eurasian Economic Union: Current state and preliminary results. *Russian Journal of Economics*, 3(1), 54-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ruje.2017.02.004>

ASEAN. (2020). ASEAN Economic Community Blueprint 2025. Retrieved from <https://www.asean.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/AEC-Blueprint-2025.pdf>

Vinokurov, E. (2017). Eurasian Economic Union: Current state and preliminary results. *Russian Journal of Economics*, 3(1), 54-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ruje.2017.02.004>

ASEAN. (2018). ASEAN and the Eurasian Economic Commission signed Memorandum of Understanding. Retrieved from <https://asean.org/asean-and-the-eurasian-economic-commission-signed-memorandum-of-understanding/>

Libman, A., & Vinokurov, E. (2018). Autocracies and regional integration: The Eurasian case. *Post-Communist Economies*, 30(3), 334-352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14631377.2018.1443249>

Vinokurov, E. (2017). Eurasian Economic Union: Current state and preliminary results. *Russian Journal of Economics*, 3(1), 54-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ruje.2017.02.004>

ASEAN. (2018). ASEAN and the Eurasian Economic Commission signed Memorandum of Understanding. Retrieved from <https://asean.org/asean-and-the-urasian-economic-commission-signed-memorandum-of-understanding/>

Libman, A., & Vinokurov, E. (2018). Autocracies and regional integration: The Eurasian case. *Post-Communist Economies*, 30(3), 334-352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14631377.2018.1443249>

Vinokurov, E. (2017). Eurasian Economic Union: Current state and preliminary results. *Russian Journal of Economics*, 3(1), 54-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ruje.2017.02.004>

ASEAN. (2018). ASEAN and the Eurasian Economic Commission signed Memorandum of Understanding. Retrieved from <https://asean.org/asean-and-the-urasian-economic-commission-signed-memorandum-of-understanding/>

Libman, A., & Vinokurov, E. (2018). Autocracies and regional integration: The Eurasian case. *Post-Communist Economies*, 30(3), 334-352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14631377.2018.1443249>

Vinokurov, E. (2017). Eurasian Economic Union: Current state and preliminary results. *Russian Journal of Economics*, 3(1), 54-70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ruje.2017.02.004>

**(2381 words excluding references)**